

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/412,182	10/05/1999	JOSEPH M. CANNON	83-76-31	9312	
75	590 04/14/2003				
WILLIAM H. BOLLMAN			EXAMINER		
2000 M STREE	NISON & SELTER PLI ET, NW	.C	WEST, LEWIS G		
SUITE 700 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036-3307		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2682	12-	
			DATE MAILED: 04/14/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Mm

		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		09/412,182		CANNON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Lewis G. West		2682		
The MAILING DA	TE of this communication a	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
	TORY PERIOD FOR REP) V IS SET TO EV	DIDE AMONTH	S) EDOM		
THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be avainater SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION lable under the provisions of 37 CFR mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a red above, the maximum statutory period extended period for reply will, by state later than three months after the mail	I. 1.136(a). In no event, howe eply within the statutory mix d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	ommunication(s) filed on 19	9 February 2003				
2a)⊠ This action is FIN		This action is non-fi	inal			
,	,—		-	osecution as to the merits is		
	ance with the practice unde					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/a	are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above of	claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consider	ation.			
5) Claim(s) is	are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/a	re rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is	are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) ar	e subject to restriction and	or election require	ment.			
pplication Papers	•	•				
9) ☐ The specification is	objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10) The drawing(s) file	d on <u>10 May 2002</u> is/are: a	a)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by th	ne Examiner.		
Applicant may not	request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) ☐ The proposed draw	ving correction filed on	is: a)□ approve	ed b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
If approved, correct	cted drawings are required in	reply to this Office ac	tion.			
12)☐ The oath or declara	ation is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§	119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)□ All b)□ Some	* c)☐ None of:					
 Certified co 	pies of the priority docume	nts have been rece	eived.			
2. Certified co.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
applicat	ne certified copies of the propertion in the International Electrical Electrical Internation in the Internation in Internation in the Internation in the Internation in Intern	Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	· ·		
14) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for dome:	stic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application)		
	n of the foreign language p made of a claim for dome					
tachment(s)		- •	30			
· —	PTO-892) ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office	Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 12		

Art Unit: 2682

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed February 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that a cellular phone is connected wirelessly to a base and is therefore not on-hook or off-hook, and cannot have such conditions. While a cellular phone may not be "on-hook" until after all digits are entered, it still has on-hook and off-hook conditions, as a voice connection may be present or absent. Also, Zicker, which is cited as relevant prior art, discloses on-hook and off-hook conditions in a cellular environment. Also Tendler does disclose a direct connection to a base station,. If a wireless connection is not a direct connection, then applicant's arguments are self-defeating, as a cordless phone has a wireless connection to a base. Also, Tendler directly states that the term "off-hook" in reference to a cellular phone, and it is therefore established in the art that a cellular phone may have an "off-hook" state. Furthermore applicant continues to argue that which is not claimed. Applicant still claims on-hook and off-hook in the alternative, and therefore a cordless phone capable of detecting a dialed number in either condition still reads on the invention. Prosecution is now closed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2682

2. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tendler (US 5,555,286).

Regarding claim 1, Tendler discloses a cordless (cellular) telephone comprising a base unit (cell site), with a telephone line interface to interface the base unit to a public switched telephone network, wherein a handset is adapted to directly communicate to the base station, the handset including a keypad, a key scan element adapted to scan the keypad for a predetermined key sequence while the handset is in an on-hook condition, and a controller adapted to cause the initiation of an outgoing call based on a determination of the predetermined key sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 2, Tendler discloses a cordless telephone wherein the outgoing call is initiated to a telephone number corresponding to the predetermined key sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 3, Tendler discloses a cordless telephone wherein the predetermined sequence is 9-1-1. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 4, Tendler discloses a cordless telephone wherein the base unit is adapted to establish a link with a network based on a signal form the controller in the handset, to sense a dial tone and to output dual tone multifrequency (DTMF) signals corresponding to a number to be dialed to the network. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 6, Tendler discloses a handset for a cordless (cellular) telephone comprising a keypad, a key scan element adapted to scan the keypad for a predetermined key sequence while in an on-hook condition, and a controller adapted to cause the initiation of an

Art Unit: 2682

outgoing call to a base, directly interfaced to the handset, with a telephone line interface based on a determination of the predetermined key sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 7, Tendler discloses a handset wherein the controller is adapted to output a signal to a corresponding base unit based on the determination of the predetermined key sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 8, Tendler discloses a handset, further comprising an RF transceiver, wherein the signal is output to the base unit via the RF transceiver. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 9, Tendler discloses a handset wherein the signal informs the base unit that the predetermined key sequence has been detected. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 10, Tendler discloses a handset wherein the signal comprises a dialing sequence of a number to be dialed. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 11, Tendler discloses a handset wherein the dialing sequence corresponds to the predetermined key sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 12, Tendler discloses a method of placing a telephone call from a cordless telephone handset, having a telephone line interface, that is in an on-hook condition, comprising the steps of: sensing the activation of a predetermined key sequence and initiating a telephone call based on the sensed activation, wherein the cordless telephone handset is adaptively interface directly with the base unit. (col. 7 lines 66- col. 8 line 4) (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Art Unit: 2682

Regarding claim 13, Tendler discloses a method wherein the telephone call is a telephone number corresponding to the predetermined key sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 14, Tendler discloses a method wherein the predetermined key sequence is 9-1-1. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 15, Tendler discloses a method wherein the initiating step includes sending a signal to a corresponding base unit. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 16, Tendler discloses a method wherein the signal indicates detection of the predetermined key sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 17, Tendler discloses a method wherein the signal includes a dialing sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 18, Tendler discloses a method wherein the dialing sequence corresponds to the predetermined key sequence. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Regarding claim 19, Tendler discloses a method wherein the signal is sent via an RF link. (col. 2 lines 31-45, col. 5 lines 11-26)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tendler et al.

Regarding claim 5, Tendler discloses a device that may be used with a landline network using DTMF. (Col. 8 lines 5-14) Examiner takes official notice that would have been notoriously

Art Unit: 2682

well known in that art at the time of the invention that a PSTN is a landline network using DTMF.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lewis G. West whose telephone number is 703-308-9298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on 703-308-6739. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Art Unit: 2682

Lewis West (703) 308-9298 April 7, 2003

Lee Nguyen U